

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,838	08/27/2001	Peter X. Ma	UM-06398	2994
23535	7590 11/29/2002			
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP 101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350			EXAMINER	
			FOELAK, MORTON	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	9
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		120
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/939,838	MA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Morton Foelak	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h th correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA.	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> 9	9 Sentember 2002	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal matt	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	<u>-</u> · ·	• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	LAAIIIIIGI.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ight phonty under 35 0.5.6. §	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		unlication No
Copies of the certified copies of the property application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	riority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	* *	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/939,838

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorman, Toyosawa et al or Coombes et al..

'Applicants urge that the references were mistakenly combined with one another. That is not the case. What was intended by the examiner was that the Dorman and Coombs et al references would inherently have controlled porosity as called for in some of the instant claims since they were making porous compositions from the claimed materials as called for in the last office action. Furthermore since applicants are now indicating that controlled porosity is critical as compared to the prior art, yet all the claims do not recite such criticality. Furthermore while the instant specification contains various data on porosity there is no specific disclosure of what is intended or what definition is to be given to the recitation "controlled viscosity" even when some of the claims give numerical percentages following the aforesaid quoted expression.

With regard to the Toyosawa et al it is again noted that the ingredients used to make the claimed composition are the same as claimed. In addition the porosity called for in the reference in line 27 of col. 5 is 40%, especially 50 to 95 %. Such porosity reads on the claims calling for controlled porosity followed by a numerical percentage.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,838 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

With regard to applicants arguments related to the method of making the claimed porous product compare to the prior art products note that the instant claims are directed to a product and said product is deemed to read on the prior art products. For example the gel product produced by the process of Coombs is deemed to be a solid substantially free of solvent.

Claims 2-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 USC 112 first and second par. Since the expression does not appear in the specification, as originally filed, nor is there a specific definition of the expression therein to define what it means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Morton Foelak whose telephone number is (703) 308
2442. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

M.F. November 26, 2002

0661.

Morton Foelak ^で Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711